UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/523,906	01/28/2005	Uwe Lasebnick	17102/012001	9453	
22511 OSHA I IANG	7590 01/29/2008		EXAM	INER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET			BOECKMAN	BOECKMANN, JASON J	
SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, T	X 77010	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3752		
·					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
• •			01/29/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.combuta@oshaliang.com

1			07			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/523,906	LASEBNICK, UWE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason J. Boeckmann	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 No	<u>ovember 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,6-20 and 22-25 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,6-20 and 22-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 12, 15-20 and 22-25 are rejected, as best understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murawa (6,402,052), in view of Kwok et al. (4,267,856).

Murawa shows a nozzle for a washing system in particular for vehicle windscreens, comprising: a nozzle body (102) with a receiving device (107) provided in the nozzle body, into which receiving device a nozzle insert (120a, 120b) is inserted, and a valve (111) disposed within the nozzle body, wherein the nozzle insert influences a jet form of a liquid jet leaving the nozzle, the receiving device has at least two inlets (122a, 122b) for a cleaning liquid, and the nozzle insert is configured to influence the cleaning liquid coming from one inlet of the at least two inlets in a different manner from the cleaning liquid coming from another inlet of the at least two inlets, but does not specifically disclose that the valve controls liquid flow through the at least two inlets with a single moving member, the single moving member being operable to block flow to one of the two outlets while allowing liquid flow through the other of the two outlets

Art Unit: 3752

However, Kwok et al. shows a pressure controlled valve having one inlet (14), two outlets (28, 26), and a single moving member (30) for controlling the flow through the outlets, the single moving member being operable to block flow to one of the two outlets while allowing liquid flow through the other of the two outlets.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to substitute the valve of Kwok et al., having the single moving member, for the valve of Murawa, thereby connecting the outputs (26, 28) of Kwok et al.'s valve to the inputs (122a, 122b) of the receiving device of Murawa, in order to allow fluid to oscillate between each of the two inlet, as taught by Kwok et al.

In regards to claims 2, 3, and 22, the nozzle body can be fitted with different nozzle inserts to produce various types of jets well known in the art (column 2, lines 57-8).

Regarding claim 6, the liquid coming from both inlets does not mix inside the receiving device.

In regards to claim 7, the nozzle insert (120a, 120b) together with at least one wall of the receiving device (101) facing the insert forms a chamber (108a, 108b), which influences the cleaning liquid.

In regards to claims 12 and 13, the inlets (122a, 122b) are perpendicular to the main jet direction of the jet forms to be produced (108a, 108b), and the nozzle insert (120a, 120b) has essentially a cuboid shape.

Regarding claims 16-18, 23 and 24, when a low pressure is applied the valve connects the input to at least one of the outputs, and when a high pressure is applied

Art Unit: 3752

the valve connects the input to the other output (as long as the low pressure and the high pressure are strong enough to move the valve member 86). When no fluid is supplied, the valve separates the input form all outputs. If the valve is positioned vertically with the outlets being above the inlets, the single moving member will seat against element 20.

With respect to claims 19, 20 and 25, the conveying pump of Murawa as modified by Kwok et al., delivers the cleaning liquid in a controlled manner with varying pressure (column 5, lines 14-7, Murawa), in which the pressure variation is controlled as a function of the vehicle speed (column 5, lines 46-50 and column 6, lines 15-8, Murawa).

Claims 8-10 and 14 are rejected, as best understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murawa (6,402,052), in view of Kwok et al. (4,267,856), further in view of Berning et al (US 2003/0234303).

Murawa as modified by Kwok et al., shows all the elements of the applicant's invention except for the nozzle insert having whirl chambers, formed together with at least one wall of the receiving device and each connected to separate inlets.

However, Berning et al shows a nozzle insert (18) that forms a chamber (28, 30), which influences and/or guides the cleaning liquid. The chamber is a whirl chamber and is connected to an inlet (42) and has at least one jet guide to a nozzle opening (figure 2a). The nozzle insert (18) has a whirl chamber with a jet guide on one side (26), on the other side, opposite the first side, it has a second whirl chamber with a second jet guide

Art Unit: 3752

311/0011(1011(d11150): 10/020,00

(24), wherein the first whirl chamber (26) is connected to a first inlet (42) and the second whirl chamber (24) is connected to a second inlet (44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to substitute the nozzle insert of Berning et al for that of Murawa as modified by Kwok et al., in order to include the whirl chambers to atomize the cleaning liquid.

With regards to claim 14, Berning's et al nozzle insert (18) is made of plastic and in particular is produced in a molding process (paragraph, 0042 lines 5-8).

Claim 11 is rejected, as best understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murawa (6,402,052), in view of Kwok et al. (4,267,856), further in view of Yoshida et al (6,082,636).

Art Unit: 3752

Murawa as modified by Kwok et al., as set forth in claim 1, shows all the elements of the applicant's invention except for the nozzle insert having a breakaway edge for producing a flat jet.

However, Yoshida et al shows a breakaway edge (12a) that water is directed towards and a flat jet is produced.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to add the breakaway edge of Yoshida et al to the nozzle insert of Murawa as modified by Kwok et al., in order to produce a flat jet and spray a larger area on the windscreen.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6-20 and 22-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/523,906 Page 7

Art Unit: 3752

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Boeckmann whose telephone number is (571) 272-2708. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5:00 m-f, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,906 Page 8

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JJB 1/18/08

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700